

TWISTED SUMS AND A PROBLEM OF KLEE

N. T. PECK

To Victor Klee

In [5], Klee asked whether every vector topology τ on a real vector space X is the supremum of a nearly convex topology τ_1 and a trivial dual topology τ_2 . Recall that a vector topology τ_1 on X is *nearly convex* if for every x not in the τ_1 -closure of $\{0\}$ there is f in $(X, \tau_1)^*$ with $f(x) \neq 0$; τ_2 is *trivial dual* if $(X, \tau_2)^* = \{0\}$. We do not require that τ_1 or τ_2 be Hausdorff, even if τ itself is Hausdorff. The topology τ is the *supremum* of τ_1 and τ_2 if τ_1 and τ_2 are weaker than τ , and if for every τ -neighborhood U of the origin 0 there are a τ_1 -neighborhood V of 0 and a τ_2 -neighborhood W of 0 such that $U \supset V \cap W$.

In [5], Klee proved that the usual topology on ℓ_p , $0 < p < 1$, is not the supremum of a locally convex topology and a trivial dual topology; this and other examples make the question at the beginning of this paper a natural one. Some related questions on suprema of linear topologies were studied in [7].

Given any vector topology τ on X , let $K(\tau) = \cap\{f^{-1}(0) : f \in (X, \tau)^*\}$. It is trivial to answer Klee's question affirmatively in the case that $K(\tau)$ is complemented. For in this case, $K(\tau)$ must be a trivial dual space in the relative topology; and if L is a complement to $K(\tau)$ in X , the relative topology on L is nearly convex. Now simply let τ_1 be the product of the trivial topology on $K(\tau)$ and the relative

topology on L ; and let τ_2 be the product of the relative topology on $K(\tau)$ and the trivial topology on L . Then $\tau = \sup(\tau_1, \tau_2)$.

So the interesting case is when $K(\tau)$ is uncomplemented. We study the problem when (X, τ) is the *twisted sum* of a separable normed space and the real line. Recall that a real function F on a normed space E is *quasi-linear* if

- (0) (i) $F(rx) = rF(x)$ for all scalars r and all x in E ;
- (ii) $|F(x+y) - F(x) - F(y)| \leq C(\|x\| + \|y\|)$ for all x, y in E and some constant C .

Now define the *twisted sum* of the real line and E (with respect to F) as the vector space $X_F = \mathbb{R} \times E$ equipped with quasi-norm $|||(r, x)||| = |r - F(x)| + \|x\|$. It is easy to verify that

$$|||(r_1 + r_2, x_1 + x_2)||| \leq (C + 1)[|||(r_1, x_1)||| + |||(r_2, x_2)|||].$$

The space E is said to be a *K-space* if the subspace $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$ is complemented in X_F for every quasi-linear map F on E . (This is a slight abuse of terminology; strictly speaking, it is the completion of E that is the *K-space*.) So we are interested in Klee's question for the non-*K* spaces. The only known non-*K* spaces are ℓ_1 -like. The *Ribe function* is defined on ℓ_1^0 , the space of finitely supported elements of ℓ_1 , by

$$F_0(x) = \sum_i x_i \ell n |x_i| - \left(\sum_i x_i \right) \ell n \left| \sum_i x_i \right|$$

with the convention that $0 \ell n 0 = 0$. Ribe [8] proved that F_0 is quasi-linear on ℓ_1^0 and used F_0 to show that ℓ_1 is not a *K-space*. Closely related functions were used by Kalton [2] and Roberts [9] to prove the same result. The reflexive space $\ell_2(\ell_1^n)$

is not a *K-space*, and the Banach spaces are *K-spaces* [2]. Kalton and Roberts

[4] showed that c_0 and ℓ_∞ are K -spaces. It is not known whether the James space is a K -space. We are studying Klee's problem for spaces E and quasi-linear maps F on E such that $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$ is not complemented in X_F . By Theorem 2.5 of [3], there is no linear map T on E such that $|T(x) - F(x)| \leq C\|x\|$ for all x in E (i.e. F does not split on E). The corollary to our main theorem implies that none of the spaces above can be a counterexample for Klee's question, since the F concerned does split on an infinite-dimensional subspace.

We now state our main result:

Main Theorem. *Let E be an $\aleph_0$ -dimensional normed space. Assume F is a quasi-linear function on E for which there are a linearly independent sequence (x_i) in E and a linear map T on $\text{span}(x_i)$ such that*

$$(1) \quad |T(x) - F(x)| \leq C\|x\| \text{ for all } x \text{ in } \text{span}(x_i) \text{ and some constant } C.$$

Then there are a trivial dual topology τ_2 on $\mathbb{R} \times E$, weaker than the quasi-norm topology, and a τ_2 -neighborhood U of 0 such that if $(r, x) \in U$ and $\|x\| \leq 1$, then $|||(r, x)||| < C$ for some constant C .

Before we prove the theorem, we set the framework for the construction with some auxiliary results. We begin with:

Definition. Suppose (G_i) is a finite or infinite sequence of subsets of E , and (n_i) is a sequence of positive integers (of the same length as (G_i)). The (n_i) -sum of (G_i) is the set of all finite sums

$$z = r_1 z_1 + r_2 z_2 + r_3 z_3 \dots$$

where $|r_i| \leq 1$ for all i and $z_1, \dots, z_{n_1}$ are in G_1 , $z_{n_1+1}, \dots, z_{n_1+n_2}$ are in G_2 ,

$z_{n_1+n_2+1}, \dots, z_{n_1+n_2+n_3}$ are in G_3 , etc. Note that if $|r| \leq 1$, rz is also in the

(n_i) -sum

Lemma 1. *Let X be a vector space and let (U_n) be a neighborhood base at 0 for a pseudo-metrizable vector topology on X , chosen so that $U_{n+1} + U_{n+1} \subset U_n$ for all n and $[-1, 1]U_n \subset U_n$ for all n . Let (F_n) be a sequence of subsets of X , chosen so that $[-1, 1]F_n \subset F_n$ and $F_{n+1} + F_{n+1} \subset F_n$, for all n . Then the sequence $(U_n + F_n)$ is a neighborhood base at 0 for a pseudo-metrizable vector topology on X which is weaker than the original topology.*

Proof. Immediate. $\square$

In the next lemma, we specify F_n more closely.

Lemma 2. *Let X and (U_n) be as in Lemma 1. Let (G_n) be a sequence of subsets of X . Define subsets F_n of X as follows: for each n in N , F_n is the (2^{i-n}) -sum of the G_i 's for $i \geq n$. Then (F_n) satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 1.*

Proof. $[-1, 1]F_n \subset F_n$ as remarked already. For a typical sum in $F_{n+1} + F_{n+1}$, at most $2 \cdot 2^{i-(n+1)} = 2^{i-n}$ of the z_i 's are in G_i for $i \geq n+1$, so $F_{n+1} + F_{n+1} \subset F_n$. $\square$

Remark. Note that there is an apriori bound on the number of elements of G_i appearing in a sum in F_n , for any n : the bound is 2^{i-1} ; we use the looser bound 2^i .

In our construction, (U_n) is a neighborhood base at 0 for the twisted sum topology. The G_i 's of Lemma 2 will be chosen so that $(U_n + F_n)$ is a neighborhood base at 0 for a trivial dual topology τ_2 ; they will also have to be chosen so that τ is the supremum of τ_1 and τ_2 . The next lemma identifies the topology τ_1 :

Lemma 3. *Let F be a quasi-linear map on a normed space E and let $X_F = \mathbb{R} \times E$ with the quasi-norm $|||(r, x)||| = |r - F(x)| + \|x\|$. Assume $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$ is not complemented in X_F . Then the strongest nearly convex topology on $\mathbb{R} \times E$ which*

is weaker than the quasi-norm topology has a neighborhood base at 0 of sets of the form $\{(r, x) : \|x\| < \eta\}$.

Proof. Sets of the above type are a neighborhood base at 0 for a nearly convex topology weaker than τ , the quasi-norm topology. The closure of $\{0\}$ for this weaker topology is $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$; and if (r, x) is in X_F and $x \neq 0$, there is f in E^* with $f(x) \neq 0$. Then $f(\pi(r, x)) \neq 0$, where π is the quotient map of X_F onto E .

Now suppose ν is a nearly convex topology on $\mathbb{R} \times E$, weaker than the quasi-norm topology. Since $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\} = K(\tau)$ is not complemented, the ν -closure of $\{0\}$ must contain $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$. Let U be ν -open containing 0. Choose V ν -open containing 0, with $V + V \subset U$. Choose $\epsilon > 0$ so that if $\|(r, x)\| < \epsilon$, then $(r, x) \in V$.

Now, $\|(F(x), x)\| = \|x\|$, so if $\|x\| < \epsilon$, then $(F(x), x) \in V$. Also, $(r - F(x), 0)$ is in V since it is in the ν -closure of 0, and so (r, x) is in U . $\square$

Notation. Let Z be a Banach space with a basis (v_i) . Let (v_i^*) be the coordinate functionals on Z . For n a positive integer and x in Z , set $x|_{[1, n]} = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^*(x)v_i$, and $x|_{(n, \infty)} = \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} v_i^*(x)v_i$. Say that x is to the right of n if $v_i^*(x) = 0$ for $i \leq n$.

We need two more preliminary results before proving our main theorem:

Lemma 4. *Let Z be a Banach space with a monotone basis (v_i) , let K be a compact subset of Z , and let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there is n so that if y is to the right of n and $x \in K$, then $\|x\| < \|x + y\| + \epsilon$.*

Proof. Choose n so that $\|x|_{(n, \infty)}\| < \epsilon$ for every x in K . Now if y is to the right of n , then $\|x|_{[1, n]}\| = \|(x + y)|_{[1, n]}\| \leq \|x + y\|$, since the basis is monotone, so $\|x\| < \|x + y\| + \epsilon$. $\square$

Lemma 5. *Let y_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, be linearly independent elements of a normed space E . Define $y_{k+1} = -\sum_{i=1}^k y_i$, and let $\eta > 0$. Set $z_i = my_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k+1$, for some $m > 0$. Then we can choose m so large that the following condition is satisfied: if at most k of the r_i 's are non-zero, and if $\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} r_i z_i \right\| < 3$, then $\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} |r_i| < \eta$.*

Proof. Choose $M > 0$ so that $\sum_{i=1}^k |\alpha_i| \leq M \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i y_i \right\|$ for all k -tuples (α_i) . Now suppose $\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} r_i z_i \right\| < 3$, with at most k r_i 's non-zero. If $r_{k+1} = 0$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^k |r_i| \leq \frac{3M}{m} < \eta$$

for $m > 3M/\eta$.

If $r_{k+1} \neq 0$, then some other r_i is 0, r_1 , say; now,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} r_i z_i \right\| = \left\| -mr_{k+1}y_1 + \sum_{i=2}^k m(r_i - r_{k+1})y_i \right\| < 3.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |r_{k+1}| + \sum_{i=2}^{k+1} |r_i - r_{k+1}| &< \frac{3M}{m}, \quad \text{so} \\ \sum_{i=2}^{k+1} |r_i| &\leq |r_{k+1}| + \sum_{i=2}^{k+1} |r_i - r_{k+1}| + k|r_{k+1}| \\ &< \frac{3(k+1)M}{m} < \eta \end{aligned}$$

for $m > 3(k+1)M/\eta$. $\square$

Proof of Main Theorem. We will construct inductively the sets G_n used in Lemma 2. That lemma will give us the sets F_n and then Lemma 1 will provide the topology.

We may assume that for x_i and T in the theorem, $T(x_i) = 0$ for each i . This is possible since for each i , there is a scalar α_i so that $T(x_{2i-1} + \alpha_i x_{2i}) = 0$; now the sequence $x'_i = x_{2i-1} + \alpha_i x_{2i}$ also satisfies condition 1) of the Theorem.

We can regard E as a subspace of the Banach space $Z = C[0, 1]$, which has a monotone basis. Any positive scalar multiple of the quasi-norm yields the same

topology as the quasi-norm, so we can and do assume that the constant C in 0(ii) above is 1. This can be done by multiplying F by a suitable positive constant.

Finally, we use $\| \cdot \|$ to refer to the norm on Z and on E . We only calculate norms of elements of E , but we do use the monotonicity of (v_i) in Z .

Now we begin the construction of (G_i) .

Choose $0 < c_n \leq 2^{-(n+3)}$ (and thus $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n < \frac{1}{4}$). Let (d_j) be any sequence whose linear span is E , and let (e_i) be an indexing of (d_j) such that each d_j occurs infinitely often in (e_i) . We can assume that $\|d_j\| \leq 1$ and that $|F(d_j)| \leq 1$ for each j , by multiplying d_j by a positive constant.

Assume that finite sets $G_0, G_1 \cdots G_{n-1}$ have been constructed, with $G_0 = \{0\}$, satisfying the following conditions:

(2) for each $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, G_i is a finite set $(w_{i,j} : 1 \leq j \leq 2^{i+1})$, with

$$\begin{aligned} w_{i,j} &= e_i + m_i x_{\ell(i,j)}, \quad j \leq 2^i, \\ w_{i,2^i+1} &= e_i - \sum_{j=1}^{2^i} m_i x_{\ell(i,j)}; \end{aligned}$$

here, (x_i) is the sequence in the statement of the theorem.

(3) Set $z_{i,j} = w_{i,j} - e_i$. Then if $\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{2^i+1} r_j z_{i,j} \right\| < 3$ with at most 2^i r_j 's non-zero,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2^i+1} |r_j| < c_i.$$

To define G_n , let K'_n be the (2^i) -sum of G_i for $i \leq n-1$, (so $K'_1 = \{0\}$) and let $K_n = K'_n + [-2^n, 2^n]e_n$. Then K_n is a compact subset of $E \subset Z$. By Lemma 4, there is an integer s_n such that if y is to the right of s_n then $\|x\| < \|x+y\| + c_n$ for all x in K_n . By the linear independence of the sequence (x_i) , we can choose $x_{\ell(n,1)}, \dots, x_{\ell(n,2^n)}$, all to the right of s_n , with $\ell(n,j) < \ell(n,j')$ if $j < j'$. For ease of notation, put $x_{n,i} = x_{\ell(n,i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq 2^n$, and put $x_{n,2^n+1} = -\sum_{i=1}^{2^n} x_{n,i}$.

By Lemma 5, we can choose m_n so large that if

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{2^n+1} r_{n,j} m_n x_{n,j} \right\| < 3,$$

with at most 2^n of the $r_{n,j}$ non-zero, then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2^n+1} |r_{n,j}| < c_n. \quad (4)$$

Finally, for $1 \leq i \leq 2^n + 1$, put

$$w_{n,i} = e_n + m_n x_{n,i}$$

and let

$$G_n = (w_{n,i}), \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2^n + 1.$$

Note that since $\sum_{i=1}^{2^n+1} x_{n,i} = 0$, $e_n \in \text{co}G_n$. (We denote the convex hull of A by $\text{co}A$.)

This finishes the construction of (G_i) .

Now let (F_n) be the subsets of E used in Lemma 1: F_n is the (2^{i-n}) sum of (G_i) for $i \geq n$. Let (U_n) be a neighborhood base at 0 for the quasi-norm topology on $\mathbb{R} \times E$, with $U_{n+1} + U_{n+1} \subset U_n$ and $[-1, 1]U_n \subset U_n$, for all n ; also assume that $\|w\| < 1$ if $w \in U_1$. Let τ_2 be the topology yielded by Lemma 1.

We claim that τ_2 is trivial dual. To see this, note that for $m \geq n$, $e_m \in \text{co}(w_{m,i}) \subset \text{co}F_n \subset \text{co}(F_n + U_n)$; since each d_j occurs infinitely often in the sequence (e_m) , $K(\tau_2)$ contains every d_j and therefore contains $\{0\} \times E$. Also, $(1, 0) \in \text{co}U_n \subset \text{co}(U_n + F_n)$ for every n , so $K(\tau_2)$ contains $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$. This proves the claim.

Now suppose that

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{2^i+1} r_{i,j} (e_i + m_i x_{i,j})$$

is in E and that $\|x\| < 1$. We will first prove that $|E(x)| < 0$.

Toward that end: since the $x_{n,j}$ are to the right of s_n , the construction of G_n implies that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{2^i+1} r_{i,j}(e_i + m_i x_{i,j}) + \sum_{j=1}^{2^n+1} r_{n,j} e_n \right\| < 1 + c_n \quad (5)$$

from which, since $\|x\| < 1$,

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{2^n+1} r_{n,j} m_n x_{n,j} \right\| < 2 + c_n < 3. \quad (6)$$

Now from (4) and (6), we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2^n+1} |r_{n,j}| < c_n; \quad (7)$$

combining this with (5), we have

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{2^i+1} r_{i,j}(e_i + m_i x_{i,j}) \right\| < 1 + 2c_n. \quad (8)$$

For the induction step, assume that for some ℓ ,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \sum_{j=1}^{2^i+1} r_{i,j}(e_i + m_i x_{i,j}) \right\| < 1 + 2c_n \cdots + 2c_{\ell+1}. \quad (9)$$

Since the $x_{\ell,j}$ are to the right of s_ℓ , the construction of G_ℓ implies that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{j=1}^{2^i+1} r_{i,j}(e_i + m_i x_{i,j}) + \sum_{j=1}^{2^\ell+1} r_{\ell,j} e_\ell \right\| < 1 + 2c_n \cdots + 2c_{\ell+1} + c_\ell, \quad (10)$$

from which

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{2^\ell+1} r_{\ell,j} m_\ell x_{\ell,j} \right\| < 2 + 4c_n \cdots + 4c_{\ell+1} + c_\ell < 3. \quad (11)$$

Now from (4) and (11), we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2^\ell+1} |r_{\ell,j}| < c_\ell; \quad (12)$$

combining this with (10), we obtain

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{j=1}^{2^i+1} r_{i,j} (e_i + m_i x_{i,j}) \right\| < 1 + 2c_n \cdots + 2c_\ell, \quad (13)$$

recalling that $\|e_i\| \leq 1$. This finishes the induction step.

The above argument has yielded that

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{2^i+1} r_{i,j} e_i \right\| < c_i \quad (14)$$

for each i ; from this and $\|x\| < 1$, we have

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{2^i+1} r_{i,j} m_i x_{i,j} \right\| < 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n < 2. \quad (15)$$

From (15) and (1), recalling $T(x_{i,j}) = 0$,

$$\left| F \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{2^i+1} r_{i,j} m_i x_{i,j} \right) \right| < 2.$$

To estimate

$$F \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{2^i+1} r_{i,j} e_i \right),$$

recall that $|F(e_i)| \leq 1$ for each i , so

$$\left| F \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^i+1} r_{i,j} e_i \right) \right| < 2^{-i}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left| F \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^i+1} r_{i,j} e_i \right) \right) \right| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n 2^{-i} + \sum_{i=1}^n i \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{2^i+1} r_{i,j} e_i \right\| \\ &< 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n i \cdot 2^{-i} < 4 \end{aligned}$$

(using $|F(\sum u_i)| \leq \sum |F(u_i)| + \sum i \|u_i\|$). Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} |F(x)| &\leq 2 + 4 + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{2^i+1} r_{i,j} e_i \right\| \\ &\quad + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{2^i+1} r_{i,j} m_i x_{i,j} \right\| \\ &\leq 2 + 4 + 1 + 2 = 9 \end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof of the theorem, suppose $(r, x) \in U_1 + F_1$ and $\|x\| \leq 1$. Write $(r, x) = (r, y) + (0, z)$, with $(r, y) \in U_1$ and $z \in F_1$. Then $|r - F(y)| + \|y\| \leq 1$; from this and $\|x\| \leq 1$ follows $\|z\| \leq 2$. Now since $z \in F_1$, the preceding paragraph implies $|F(z)| < 18$. At last,

$$\begin{aligned} |r - F(x)| &\leq |r - F(y)| + |F(y) - F(x)| \\ &\leq 1 + |F(y) - F(x)| \\ &\leq 1 + |F(z)| + \|z\| + \|x\| \\ &< 22, \end{aligned}$$

so $|||(r, x)||| < 23$. The proof is complete. $\square$

Corollary. *Let E be a separable normed space and let E_0 be an $\aleph_0$ -dimensional subspace of E which is dense in E . Assume that there is a quasi-linear map F on E_0 which splits on an infinite-dimensional subspace of E_0 . Then the twisted sum topology on $\mathbb{R} \otimes_F E$ is the supremum of a trivial dual topology and a nearly exotic topology.*

Proof. Let q denote the quotient map of $\mathbb{R} \otimes_F \tilde{E}$ onto $\tilde{E}$, where $\tilde{E}$ is the completion of E . (For $x \in E_0$, $q(r, x) = x$.) The subspace E_0 satisfies the hypotheses of the main theorem. Therefore there are a trivial dual topology τ_2 on $\mathbb{R} \times E_0$, weaker than the twisted sum topology; a τ_2 -neighborhood V of 0; and a constant C so that if $x \in E_0$, $(r, x) \in V$, and $\|x\| < 1$, then $|||(r, x)||| < C$.

We can assume that V contains a τ_2 -neighborhood U of 0 of the form $B_\alpha + F_n$, where $F_n \subset E_0$ is as constructed as in the proof of the main theorem, and for any $\beta > 0$,

$$B_\beta = \{(r, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times E : |||(r, x)||| < \beta\}$$

Sets of the form $\overline{B_\beta} + q^{-1}(F_m)$, where

$$\overline{B_\beta} = \{w \in \mathbb{R} \otimes_F E : |||w||| < \beta\},$$

obviously form a neighborhood base at the origin for a vector topology $\overline{\tau}_2$ on $\mathbb{R} \otimes_F E$, weaker than the twisted sum topology. The topology $\overline{\tau}_2$ is trivial dual since its restriction to the dense subspace $\mathbb{R} \times E_0$ is trivial dual.

Now choose $0 < \gamma < 1/2$ so that $\overline{B_\gamma} + \overline{B_\gamma} \subset \overline{B_\alpha}$, and assume that $w \in \overline{B_\gamma} + q^{-1}(F_n)$ and $|||q(w)||| < 1/2$. Choose $w_0 \in \mathbb{R} \times E_0$ so that $|||w - w_0||| < \gamma$. Then $|||q(w) - q(w_0)||| < \gamma$, so $|||q(w_0)||| < \gamma + 1/2 < 1$. Clearly, $w_0 \in \overline{B_\alpha} + q^{-1}(F_n)$, and so from our assumption, $|||w_0||| < C$. Now, $|||w||| < (\alpha/\gamma)(C + 1)$, and the proof is complete. $\square$

The theorem and corollary apply to several spaces which are either not K -spaces or for which it is not known whether they are K -spaces:

Theorem. *For the following pairs of normed spaces E and quasi-linear maps F on E , the twisted sum topology on $X_F = \mathbb{R} \times E$ is the supremum of a nearly convex topology and a trivial dual topology:*

- (a) *E is any infinite-dimensional subspace of ℓ_1^0 (whether or not it is a K -space), F is the Ribe function F_0 ;*
- (b) *E is the linear span of the usual unit vector basis for the James space, under the James norm; F is any quasi-linear function on E ;*
- (c) *E is the span of the usual unit vector basis in $\ell_p(\ell_1^n)$, for $1 < p < \infty$ (this is a reflexive non- K space); F will be described below.*

Proof. For (a), let $H = \{x \in E : \sum_i x_i = 0\}$. Note that if $x, y \in H$ and x and y have disjoint supports, $F(x + y) = F(x) + F(y)$. Since H has codimension at

most 1 in E and E is infinite dimensional, there is a sequence of non-zero elements (x_i) in H satisfying $\sup(\text{support } x_i) < \inf(\text{support } x_{i+1})$ for all i .

As remarked above, F_0 is linear on $\text{span}(x_i)$, so if we define $T(x_i) = F(x_i)$, the linear function T certainly satisfies hypothesis (1) of the theorem. Therefore the theorem applies to E .

For (b), it is known that the even unit vectors e_{2n} span a pre-Hilbert subspace of the James space (see [1]). The B -convexity of $\text{span}(e_{2n})$ and Theorems 2.6 of [2] and 2.5 of [3] imply that there is a linear map T on $\text{span}(e_{2n})$ such that $|T(x) - F(x)| \leq C\|x\|$ for all x in $\text{span}(e_{2n})$. Therefore the theorem applies.

(c) For each n let $(e_{i,n})$ be the usual unit vector basis of ℓ_1^n , and let E be the span of the $e_{i,n}$ in $\ell_p(\ell_1^n)$. Let (c_n) be any sequence in ℓ_q , $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Let F_0 be the Ribe function and define F on E by

$$F((x_n)) = \sum_n c_n F_0(x_n).$$

We claim that F is quasi-linear. For this, if (x_n) and (y_n) are in E , the sequences $(\|x_n\|_1)$ and $(\|y_n\|_1)$ are ℓ_p sequences, and for each n ,

$$\begin{aligned} & |c_n F_0(x_n + y_n) - c_n F_0(x_n) - c_n F_0(y_n)| \\ & \leq c_n (\|x_n\|_1 + \|y_n\|_1). \end{aligned}$$

From Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & |F((x_n + y_n)) - F((x_n)) - F((y_n))| \\ & \leq \|(c_n)\|_q (\|(x_n)\|_p + \|(y_n)\|_p). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.7 of [2] gives that E is not a K -space. The F just defined proves this directly: for suppose there is a linear T on E with $|T(x) - F(x)| \leq C\|x\|$ for

all x in E . Then since $F(e_{i,n}) = 0$ for all i, n , $|T(e_{i,n})| \leq C$ for all i, n . But $F\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n e_{i,n}\right) = -c_n \log n$, a contradiction if we choose c_n so that $(c_n \log n)$ is unbounded.

Finally, our theorem applies in this situation. To show this, for each n pick a unit vector x_n in ℓ_1^n . The sequence (x_n) is equivalent to the usual basis of ℓ_p , which is B -convex; the results already mentioned imply that there is a linear T on $\text{span}(x_n)$ such that $|T(x) - F(x)| \leq C\|x\|$ for all x in $\text{span}(x_n)$. This finishes the proof. $\square$

Note that, because of the separability, the corollary applies to the completions of the twisted sums in (a)–(c) above.

REFERENCES

1. R. C. James, *A non-reflexive Banach space isometric with its second conjugate space*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **37** (1951), 174–177.
2. N. J. Kalton, *The three space problem for locally bounded F -spaces*, Compositio Math. **37** (1978), 243–276.
3. N. J. Kalton and N. T. Peck, *Twisted sums of sequence spaces and the three-space problem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **255** (1979), 1–30.
4. N. J. Kalton and James W. Roberts, *Uniformly exhaustive submeasures and nearly additive set functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **278** (1983), 803–816.
5. V. L. Klee, *Exotic topologies for linear spaces*, Proc. Symp. on Gen. Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra, Academic Press, 1962, pp. 238–249.
6. J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces I*, Ergeb. der. Math. u. ihrer Grenzgeb., Springer-Verlag, 1977, p. 92.
7. N. T. Peck and H. Porta, *Linear topologies which are suprema of exotic topologies*, Studia Math. **47** (1973), 63–73.
8. M. Ribe, *Examples for the non locally convex three space problem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **237** (1979), 351–355.
9. James W. Roberts, *A nonlocally convex F -space with the Hahn-Banach approximation property*, Springer Lecture Notes 604, Springer-Verlag, 1977, pp. 76–81.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, URBANA, IL 61801